

Notes on Caulk and Caulk+

arnaucube

February 2023

Abstract

Notes taken while reading about Caulk [1] and Caulk+ [2].
Usually while reading papers I take handwritten notes, this document contains some of them re-written to *LaTeX*.
The notes are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs.

Contents

1 Preliminaries	1
1.1 Lagrange Polynomials and Roots of Unity	1
1.2 KZG Commitments	1
1.3 Pedersen Commitments	3
2 Caulk	3
2.1 Blinded Evaluation	3
2.1.1 Correct computation of $z(x)$, π_{unity}	4
2.1.2 NIZK argument of knowledge for R_{unity} and $deg(z) \leq 1$	6
3 Caulk+	8

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Lagrange Polynomials and Roots of Unity

Let ω denote a root of unity, such that $\omega^N = 1$. Set $\mathbb{H} = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{N-1}\}$.

Let the i^{th} Lagrange polynomial be $\lambda_i(X) = \prod_{s \neq i-1} \frac{X - \omega^s}{\omega^{i-1} - \omega^s}$.

Notice that $\lambda_i(\omega^{i-1}) = 1$ and $\lambda_i(\omega^j) = 0$, $\forall j \neq i-1$.

Let the vanishing polynomial of \mathbb{H} be $z_H(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (X - \omega^i) = X^N - 1$.

1.2 KZG Commitments

KZG as a Vector Commitment.

We have vector $\vec{c} = \{c_i\}_1^n$, which can be interpolated into $C(X)$ through Lagrange polynomials $\{\lambda_i(X)\}$:

$$C(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \lambda_i(X)$$

so, $C(\omega^{i-1}) = c_i$.

Commitment:

$$C = [C(X)]_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot [\lambda_i(X)]_1$$

Proof of **opening for single value** v at position i :

$$Q(X) = \frac{C(X) - v}{X - \omega^{i-1}}$$

$$\pi_{KZG} = Q = [Q(X)]_1$$

Verification:

$$e(C - [v]_1, [1]_2) = e(\pi_{KZG}, [X - \omega^{i-1}]_2)$$

unfold

$$e([C(X)]_1 - [v]_1, [1]_2) = e([Q(X)]_1, [X - \omega^{i-1}]_2)$$

$$C(X) - v = Q(X) \cdot (X - \omega^{i-1}) \implies Q(X) = \frac{C(X) - v}{X - \omega^{i-1}}$$

Proof of **opening for a subset** of positions $I \subset [N]$:

$[H_I]_1$ such that for

$$C_I(X) = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \cdot \tau_i(X)$$

$$z_I(X) = \prod_{i \in I} (X - \omega^{i-1})$$

for $\{\tau_i(X)\}_{i \in I}$ being the Lagrange interpolation polynomials over $\mathbb{H}_I = \{\omega^{i-1}\}_{i \in I}$. (recall, $z_H(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (X - \omega^i) = X^N - 1$)

$H_I(X)$ can be computed by

$$H_I(X) = \frac{C(X) - C_I(X)}{z_I(X)}$$

So, prover commits to $C_I(X)$ with $C_I = [C_I(X)]_1$, and computes π_{KZG} :

$$\pi_{KZG} = H_I = [H_I(X)]_1$$

Then, verification checks:

$$e(C - C_I, [1]_2) = e(\pi_{KZG}, [z_I(X)]_2)$$

unfold

$$\begin{aligned}
e([C(X)]_1 - [C_I(X)]_1, [1]_2) &= e([H_I(X)]_1, [z_I(X)]_2) \\
C(X) - C_I(X) &= H_I(X) \cdot z_I(X) \\
C(X) - C_I(X) &= \frac{C(X) - C_I(X)}{z_I(X)} \cdot z_I(X)
\end{aligned}$$

1.3 Pedersen Commitments

Commitment

$$cm = v[1]_1 + r[h]_1 = [v + hr]_1$$

Prove knowledge of v , r , Verifier sends challenge $\{s_1, s_2\}$. Prover computes:

$$R = s_1[1]_1 + s_2[h]_1 = [s_1 + hs_2]_1$$

$$c = H(cm, R)$$

$$t_1 = s_1 + vc, \quad t_2 = s_2 + rc$$

Verification:

$$R + c \cdot cm == t_1[1]_1 + t_2[h]_1$$

unfold:

$$R + c \cdot cm == t_1[1]_1 + t_2[h]_1 = [t_1 + ht_2]$$

$$[s_1 + hs_2]_1 + c \cdot [v + hr]_1 == [s_1 + vc + h(s_2 + rc)]_1$$

$$[s_1 + hs_2 + cv + rch]_1 == [s_1 + vc + hs_2 + rch]_1$$

2 Caulk

2.1 Blinded Evaluation

Main idea: combine KZG commitments with Pedersen commitments to prove knowledge of a value v which Pedersen commitment is committed in the KZG commitment.

Let $C(X) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \lambda_i(X)$, where $\vec{c} = \{c_i\}_{i \in I}$. In normal KZG, prover would compute $Q(X) = \frac{C(X) - v}{X - \omega^{i-1}}$, and send $[Q(X)]_1$ as proof. We will obfuscate the commitment:

rand $a \in \mathbb{F}$, blind commit to $z(X) = aX - b = a(X - \omega^{i-1})$, where $\omega^{i-1} = b/a$. Denote by $[z]_2$ the commitment to $[z(X)]_2$.

Prover computes:

- i. π_{ped} , Pedersen proof that cm is from v, r (section 1.3)
- ii. π_{unity} (see 2.1.1)

iii. For random s computes:

$$T(X) = \frac{Q(X)}{a} + hs \longrightarrow [T]_1 = [T(X)]_1$$

$$S(X) = -r - s \cdot z(X) \longrightarrow [S]_2 = [S(X)]_2$$

i, ii, iii defines the *zk proof of membership*, which proves that (v, r) is a opening of cm , and v opens C at ω^{i-1} .

Verifier checks proofs π_{ped} , π_{unity} (i, ii), and checks

$$e(C - cm, [1]_2) == e([T]_1, [z]_2) + e([h]_1, [S]_2)$$

unfold:

$$C(X) - cm == T(X) \cdot z(X) + h \cdot S(X)$$

$$C(X) - v - hr == \left(\frac{Q(X)}{a} + sh\right) \cdot z(X) + h(-r - s \cdot z(X))$$

$$C(X) - v == hr + \left(\frac{Q(X)}{a}\right)z(X) + sh \cdot z(X) - hr - sh \cdot z(X)$$

$$C(X) - v == \frac{Q(X)}{a} \cdot z(X)$$

$$C(X) - v == \frac{Q(X)}{a} \cdot a(X - \omega^{i-1})$$

$$C(X) - v == Q(X) \cdot (X - \omega^{i-1})$$

Which matches with the definition of $Q(X) = \frac{C(X)-v}{X-\omega^{i-1}}$.

2.1.1 Correct computation of $z(x)$, π_{unity}

Want to prove that prover knows a, b such that $[z]_2 = [aX - b]_2$, and $a^N = b^N$.

To prove $\frac{a}{b}$ is inside the evaluation domain (ie. $\frac{a}{b}$ is a N^{th} root of unity), proves (in $\log(N)$ time) that its N^{th} is one ($\frac{a}{b} = 1$).

Conditions:

i. $f_0 = \frac{a}{b}$

ii. $f_i = f_{i-1}^2, \forall i = 1, \dots, \log(N)$

iii. $f_{\log(N)} = 1$

Redefine i, and from there, redefine ii, iii:

i.

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= z(1) = a - b \\
f_1 &= z(\sigma)a\sigma - b \\
f_2 &= \frac{f_0 - f_1}{1 - \sigma} = \frac{a(1 - \sigma)}{1 - \sigma} = a \\
f_3 &= \sigma f_2 - f_1 = \sigma a - a\sigma + b = b \\
f_4 &= \frac{f_2}{f_3} = \frac{a}{b}
\end{aligned}$$

ii. $f_{5+i} = f_{4+i}^2, \forall i = 0, \dots, \log(N) - 1$

iii. $f_{4+\log(N)} = 1$

Lemma 1. Let $z(X)$ $\deg = 1$, $n = \log(N) + 6$, σ such that $\sigma^n = 1$.

If $\exists f(X) \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ such that

1. $f(X) = z(X)$, for $1, \sigma$
2. $f(\sigma^2)(1 - \sigma) = f(1) - f(\sigma)$
3. $f(\sigma^3) = \sigma f(\sigma^2) - f(\sigma)$
4. $f(\sigma^4)f(\sigma^3) = f(\sigma^2)$
5. $f(\sigma^{4+i+1}) = f(\sigma^{4+i})^2, \forall i = 0, \dots, \log(N) - 1$
6. $f(\sigma^{5+\log(N)} \cdot \sigma^{-1}) = 1$

then, $z(X) = aX - b$, where $\frac{b}{a}$ is a N^{th} root of unity.

Let's see the relations between the conditions and the Lemma 1:

Conditions \rightarrow *Lemma 1*

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= z(1) = a - b \\
f_1 &= z(\sigma)a\sigma - b
\end{aligned}
&\rightarrow 1. f(X) = z(X), \text{ for } 1, \sigma \\
f_2 = \frac{f_0 - f_1}{1 - \sigma} = \frac{a(1 - \sigma)}{1 - \sigma} = a &\rightarrow 2. f(\sigma^2)(1 - \sigma) = f(1) - f(\sigma) \\
f_3 = \sigma f_2 - f_1 = \sigma a - a\sigma + b = b &\rightarrow 3. f(\sigma^3) = \sigma f(\sigma^2) - f(\sigma) \\
f_4 = \frac{f_2}{f_3} = \frac{a}{b} &\rightarrow 4. f(\sigma^4)f(\sigma^3) = f(\sigma^2) \\
f_{5+i} = f_{4+i}^2, \forall i = 0, \dots, \log(N) - 1 &\rightarrow 5. f(\sigma^{4+i+1}) = f(\sigma^{4+i})^2, \forall i = 0, \dots, \log(N) - 1 \\
f_{4+\log(N)} = 1 &\rightarrow 6. f(\sigma^{5+\log(N)} \cdot \sigma^{-1}) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

For succinctness: aggregate $\{f_i\}$ in a polynomial $f(X)$, whose coefficients in Lagrange basis associated to \mathbb{V}_n are the f_i (ie. s.t. $f(\omega^i) = f_i$).

$$\begin{aligned}
f(X) &= (a - b)\rho_1(X) + (a\sigma - b)\rho_2(X) + a\rho_3(X) + b\rho_4(X) + \sum_{i=0}^{\log(N)} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2^i} \rho_{5+i}(X) \\
&= f_0\rho_1(X) + f_1\rho_2(X) + f_2\rho_3(X) + f_3\rho_4(X) + \sum_{i=0}^{\log(N)} (f_4)^{2^i} \rho_{5+i}(X)
\end{aligned}$$

Prover shows that $f(X)$ by comparing $f(\sigma^i)$ with the corresponding constraints from Lemma 1:

For rand α (set by Verifier), set $\alpha_1 = \sigma^{-1}\alpha$, $\alpha_2 = \sigma^{-2}\alpha$, and send $v_1 = f(\alpha_1)$, $v_2 = f(\alpha_2)$ with corresponding proofs of opening.

Given v_1, v_2 , shows that $p_\alpha(X)$, which proves the constraints from Lemma 1, evaluates to 0 at α (ie. $p_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$).

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha(X) = & -h(X)z_{V_n}(\alpha) + [f(X) - z(X)] \cdot (\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)) \\
& + [(1 - \sigma)f(X) - f(\alpha_2) + f(\alpha_1)]\rho_3(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X) + f(\alpha_2) - \sigma f(\alpha_1)]\rho_4(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X)f(\alpha_1) - f(\alpha_2)]\rho_5(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X) - f(\alpha_1)f(\alpha_1)] \prod_{i \notin [5, \dots, 4 + \log(N)]} (\alpha - \sigma^i) \\
& + [f(\alpha_1) - 1]\rho_n(\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 NIZK argument of knowledge for R_{unity} and $\deg(z) \leq 1$

Prover:

$$r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3 \leftarrow^{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{F}, \quad r(X) = r_1 + r_2X + r_3X^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(X) = & (a - b)\rho_1(X) + (a\sigma - b)\rho_2(X) + a\rho_3(X) + b\rho_4(X) + \sum_{i=0}^{\log(N)} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2^i} \rho_{5+i}(X) \\
& + r_0\rho_{5+\log(N)}(X) + r(X)z_{V_n}(X)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p(X) = & [f(X) - (aX - b)](\rho_1(X) + \rho_2(X)) \\
& + [(1 - \sigma)f(X) - f(\sigma^{-1}X) + f(\sigma^{-1}X)]\rho_3(X) \\
& + [f(X) + f(\sigma^{-2}X) - \sigma f(\sigma^{-1}X)]\rho_4(X) \\
& + [f(X)f(\sigma^{-1}X) - f(\sigma^{-2}X)]\rho_5(X) \\
& + [f(X) - f(\sigma^{-1}X)f(\sigma^{-1}X)] \prod_{i \notin [5, 4 + \log(N)]} (X - \sigma^i) \\
& + [f(\sigma^{-1}X) - 1]\rho_n(X)
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$h'(X) = \frac{p(X)}{z_{V_n}(X)}, \quad h(X) = h'(X) + X^{d-1}z(X)$$

output $([F]_1 = [f(X)]_1, [H]_1 = [h(x)]_1)$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x) = & h'(X) + X^{d-1}z(X) \\
= & \frac{p(X)}{z_{V_n}(X)} + X^{d-1}z(X) \longrightarrow p(X) + X^{d-1}z(X) = z_{V_n}(X)h(X)
\end{aligned}$$

Verifier sets challenge $\alpha \in^{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{F}$ (hash of transcript by Fiat-Shamir).

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha(X) = & -h(X)z_{V_n}(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X) - z(X)] \cdot (\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)) \\
& + [(1 - \sigma)f(X) - f(\alpha_2) + f(\alpha_1)]\rho_3(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X) + f(\alpha_2) - \sigma f(\alpha_1)]\rho_4(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X)f(\alpha_1) - f(\alpha_2)]\rho_5(\alpha) \\
& + [f(X) - f(\alpha_1)f(\alpha_1)] \prod_{i \notin [5, \dots, 4 + \log(N)]} (\alpha - \sigma^i) \\
& + [f(\alpha_1) - 1]\rho_n(\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Note: for the check that $[z]_1$ has degree 1, we add $-h(X)z_{V_n}(\alpha)$, to include the term $X^{d-1}z(X)$ in $h(X)$. Later the Verifier will compute $[P]_1$ without the terms including $z(X)$ (ie. without $-X^{d-1}z(X)z_{V_n}(\alpha) - z(X)[\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)]$), which the Verifier will add via the pairing:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -X^{d-1}z(X)z_{V_n}(\alpha) - z(X)(\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)) \\
& = (-X^{d-1}z_{V_n}(\alpha) - (\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha))) \cdot z(X) \\
& \rightarrow e(-(\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)) - z_{V_n}(\alpha)[X^{d-1}]_1, [z]_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Prover then generates KZG proofs

$$\begin{aligned}
((v_1, v_2), \pi_1) & \leftarrow \text{KZG.Open}(f(X), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \\
(0, \pi_2) & \leftarrow \text{KZG.Open}(p_\alpha(X), \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

prover's output: (v_1, v_2, π_1, π_2) .

Verify: set $\alpha_1 = \sigma^{-1}\alpha$, $\alpha_2 = \sigma^{-2}\alpha$,

(notice that $f(X) \rightarrow [F]_1$, and $f(\alpha_1) = v_1$, $f(\alpha_2) = v_2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
[P]_1 = & -z_{V_n}(\alpha)[H]_1 + [F]_1(\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)) \\
& + [(1 - \sigma)[F]_1 - v_2 + v_1]\rho_3(\alpha) \\
& + [[F]_1 + v_2 - \sigma v_1]\rho_4(\alpha) \\
& + [[F]_1 v_1 - v_2]\rho_5(\alpha) \\
& + [[F]_1 - v_1^2] \prod_{i \notin [5, \dots, 4 + \log(N)]} (\alpha - \sigma^i) \\
& + [v_1 - 1]\rho_n(\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{KZG.Verify}((\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (v_1, v_2), \pi_1)$$

$$e([P]_1, [1]_2) + e(-(\rho_1(\alpha) + \rho_2(\alpha)) - z_{V_n}(\alpha)[x^{d-1}]_1, [z]_2) = e(\pi_2, [x - \alpha]_2)$$

3 Caulk+

Main update from original Caulk: R_{unity}, π_{unity} is replaced with a pairing check constraining the evaluation points to be roots of a polynomial dividing $X^n - 1$.

KZG commitment c to $C(X)$, with evaluation points in \mathbb{H} .

KZG commitment a to $A(X)$, with evaluation points in \mathbb{V} .

Witness:

$I \subset [n], \{c_i\}_{i \in I}, C(X), A(X), u : [m] \rightarrow I$

Precomputed:

$[W_1^i(x)]_2 \ \forall i \in I$, where $W_1^i(X) = \frac{C(X) - c_i}{X - \omega^i}$

$[W_2^i(x)]_2 \ \forall i \in I$, where $W_2^i(X) = \frac{Z_{\mathbb{H}}(X)}{X - \omega^i}$

Round 1

- i. rand blinding factors r_1, \dots, r_6
- ii. Lagrange basis polynomials $\{\tau_i(X)\}_{i \in [m]}$ over $\omega_j^j \in I$
- iii. $Z'_I(X) = r_1 \prod_{i \in I} (X - \omega^i)$
- iv. $C_I(X) = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \tau_i(X)$ (unblinded)
- v. blinded $C'_I(X) = C_I(X) + (r_2 + r_3 X + r_4 X^2) Z'_I(X)$
- vi. set $U(x)$, being degree $m - 1$ interpolation over \mathbb{V} with $U(v_i) = \omega^{u(i)}, \forall i \in [m]$
- vii. blinded $U'(X) = U(X) + (r_5 + r_6 X) Z_{\mathbb{V}}(X)$
- viii. return $z_I = [Z'_I(x)]_1, c_I = [C'_I(x)]_1, u = [U'(X)]_1$

Verifier sets random challenges χ_1, χ_2 .

Round 2

- i. $[W_1(x) + \chi_2 W_2(x)]_2 = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{[W_1^i(x)]_2 + \chi_2 [W_2^i(x)]_2}{\prod_{j \in I, i \neq j} \omega^i - \omega^j}$
- ii. $H(X) = \frac{Z'_I(U'(X)) + \chi_1 (C'_I(U'(X)) - A(X))}{Z_{\mathbb{V}}(X)}$
- iii. return $w = r_1^{-1} [W_1(x) + \chi_2 W_2(x)]_2 - [r_2 + r_3 x + r_4 x^2]_2, h = [H(x)]_1$

Verifier sets random challenge α .

Round 3 Output $v_1, v_2, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(X) &\leftarrow Z'_I(X) + \chi_1 C'_I(X) \\
P_2(X) &\leftarrow Z'_I(U'(\alpha)) + \chi_1 (C'_I(U'(\alpha)) - A(X)) - Z_{\mathbb{V}}(\alpha)H(X) \\
(v_1, \pi_1) &\leftarrow \text{KZG.Open}(U'(X), \alpha) \\
(v_2, \pi_2) &\leftarrow \text{KZG.Open}(P_1(X), v_1) \\
(0, \pi_3) &\leftarrow \text{KZG.Open}(P_2(X), \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Verify Compute $p_1 = z_I + \chi_1 c_I$, $p_2 = [v_2]_1 - \chi_1 a - Z_{\mathbb{V}}(\alpha)h$, verify

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leftarrow \text{KZG.Verify}(u, \alpha, v_1, \pi_1) \\
1 &\leftarrow \text{KZG.Verify}(p_1, v_1, v_2, \pi_2) \\
1 &\leftarrow \text{KZG.Verify}(p_2, \alpha, 0, \pi_3) \\
e((C - c_I) + \chi_2 [x^n - 1]_1, [1]_2) &= e(z_I, w)
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Arantxa Zapico, Vitalik Buterin, Dmitry Khovratovich, Mary Maller, Anca Nitulescu, and Mark Simkin. Caulk: Lookup arguments in sublinear time. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2022/621, 2022. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/621>.
- [2] Jim Posen and Assimakis A. Kattis. Caulk+: Table-independent lookup arguments. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2022/957, 2022. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/957>.