

Notes on Sonic

arnaucube

April 2022

Abstract

Notes taken while reading Sonic paper [1]. Usually while reading papers I take handwritten notes, this document contains some of them rewritten to *LaTeX*.

The notes are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs.

Contents

1 Sonic	1
1.1 Structured Reference String	1
1.2 System of constraints	1
1.2.1 The basic Sonic protocol	3
1.2.2 Polynomial Commitment Scheme	4
1.3 Succint signatures of correct computation	4

1 Sonic

1.1 Structured Reference String

$$\{\{g^{x^i}\}_{i=-d}^d, \{g^{\alpha x^i}\}_{i=-d, i \neq 0}^d, \{h^{x^i}, h^{\alpha x^i}\}_{i=-d}^d, e(g, h^\alpha)\}$$

1.2 System of constraints

Multiplication constraint: $a \cdot b = c$

Q linear constraints:

$$a \cdot u_q + b \cdot v_q + c \cdot w_q = k_q$$

with $u_q, v_q, w_q \in \mathbb{F}^n$, and $k_q \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Example: $x^2 + y^2 = z$

$$a = (x, y), \quad b = (x, y), \quad c = (x^2, y^2)$$

- i. $(x, y) \cdot (1, 0) + (x, y) \cdot (-1, 0) + (x^2, y^2) \cdot (0, 0) = 0 \longrightarrow x - x = 0$
- ii. $(x, y) \cdot (0, 1) + (x, y) \cdot (0, -1) + (x^2, y^2) \cdot (0, 0) = 0 \longrightarrow y - y = 0$
- iii. $(x, y) \cdot (0, 0) + (x, y) \cdot (0, 0) + (x^2, y^2) \cdot (1, 1) = z \longrightarrow x^2 + y^2 = z$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (1, 0) & v_1 &= (-1, 0) & w_1 &= (0, 0) & k_1 &= 0 \\ u_2 &= (0, 1) & v_2 &= (0, -1) & w_2 &= (0, 0) & k_2 &= 0 \\ u_3 &= (0, 0) & v_3 &= (0, 0) & w_3 &= (1, 1) & k_3 &= z \end{aligned}$$

Compress n multiplication constraints into an equation in formal indeterminate Y :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i b_i - c_i) \cdot Y^i = 0$$

encode into negative exponents of Y :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i b_i - c_i) \cdot Y^{-i} = 0$$

Also, compress the Q linear constraints, scaling by Y^n to preserve linear independence:

$$\sum_{q=1}^Q (a \cdot u_q + b \cdot v_q + c \cdot w_q - k_q) \cdot Y^{q+n} = 0$$

Polys:

$$u_i(Y) = \sum_{q=1}^Q Y^{q+n} \cdot u_{q,i}$$

$$v_i(Y) = \sum_{q=1}^Q Y^{q+n} \cdot v_{q,i}$$

$$w_i(Y) = -Y^i - Y^{-1} + \sum_{q=1}^Q Y^{q+n} \cdot w_{q,i}$$

$$k(Y) = \sum_{q=1}^Q Y^{q+n} \cdot k_q$$

Combine the multiplicative and linear constraints to:

$$a \cdot u(Y) + b \cdot v(Y) + c \cdot w(Y) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i (Y^i + Y^{-i}) - k(Y) = 0$$

where $a \cdot u(Y) + b \cdot v(Y) + c \cdot w(Y)$ is embeded into the constant term of the polynomial $t(X, Y)$.

Define $r(X, Y)$ s.t. $r(X, Y) = r(XY, 1)$.

$$\implies r(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i X^i Y^i + b_i X^{-i} Y^{-i} + c_i X^{-i-n} Y^{-i-n})$$

$$s(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i(Y) X^{-i} + v_i(Y) X^i + w_i(Y) X^{i+n})$$

$$r'(X, Y) = r(X, Y) + s(X, Y)$$

$$t(X, Y) = r(X, Y) + r'(X, Y) - k(Y)$$

The coefficient of X^0 in $t(X, Y)$ is the left-hand side of the equation.

Sonic demonstrates that the constant term of $t(X, Y)$ is zero, thus demonstrating that our constraint system is satisfied.

1.2.1 The basic Sonic protocol

1. Prover constructs $r(X, Y)$ using their hidden witness
2. Prover commits to $r(X, 1)$, setting the maximum degree to n
3. Verifier sends random challenge y
4. Prover commits to $t(X, y)$. The commitment scheme ensures that $t(X, y)$ has no constant term.
5. Verifier sends random challenge z
6. Prover opens commitments to $r(z, 1), r(z, y), t(z, y)$
7. Verifier calculates $r'(z, y)$, and checks that

$$r(z, y) \cdot r'(z, y) - k(y) == t(z, y)$$

Steps 3 and 5 can be made non-interactive by the Fiat-Shamir transformation.

1.2.2 Polynomial Commitment Scheme

Sonic uses an adaptation of KZG [2], want:

- i. *evaluation binding*, i.e. given a commitment F , an adversary cannot open F to two different evaluations v_1 and v_2
- ii. *bounded polynomial extractable*, i.e. any algebraic adversary that opens a commitment F knows an opening $f(X)$ with powers $-d \leq i \leq \max, i \neq 0$.

PC scheme (adaptation of KZG):

- i. Commit(info, $f(X)$) $\rightarrow F$:

$$F = g^{\alpha \cdot x^{d-\max}} \cdot f(x)$$

- ii. Open(info, F , z , $f(x)$) $\rightarrow (f(z), W)$:

$$w(X) = \frac{f(X) - f(z)}{X - z}$$

$$W = g^{w(x)}$$

- iii. Verify(info, F , z , (v , W)) $\rightarrow 0/1$:

Check:

$$e(W, h^{\alpha \cdot x}) \cdot e(g^v W^{-z}, h^\alpha) == e(F, h^{x^{-d+\max}})$$

1.3 Succinct signatures of correct computation

Signature of correct computation to ensure that an element $s = s(z, y)$ for a known polynomial

$$s(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j=-d}^d s_{i,j} \cdot X^i \cdot Y^j$$

Use the structure of $s(X, Y)$ to prove its correct calculation using a *permutation argument* \rightarrow *grand-product argument* inspired by Bayer and Groth, and Bootle et al.

Restrict to constraint systems where $s(X, Y)$ can be expressed as the sum of M polynomials. Where j -th poly is of the form:

$$\Psi_j(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{j, \sigma_j, i} \cdot X^i \cdot Y^{\sigma_j, i}$$

where σ_j is the fixed polynomial permutation, and $\phi_{j,i} \in \mathbb{F}$ are the coefficients.

WIP

References

- [1] Mary Maller, Sean Bowe, Markulf Kohlweiss, and Sarah Meiklejohn. Sonic: Zero-knowledge snarks from linear-size universal and updateable structured reference strings. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2019/099, 2019. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/099>.
- [2] A. Kate, G. M. Zaverucha, , and I. Goldberg. Constant-size commitments to polynomials and their application, 2010. <https://www.iacr.org/archive/asiacrypt2010/6477178/6477178.pdf>.