

Bilinear Pairings - study

arnaucube

August 2022

Abstract

Notes taken from [Matan Prsma](#) math seminars and also while reading about Bilinear Pairings. Usually while reading papers and books I take handwritten notes, this document contains some of them re-written to *LaTeX*.

The notes are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs. I use these notes to revisit the concepts after some time of reading the topic.

Contents

1	Weil reciprocity	1
2	Generic Weil Pairing	1
2.1	Generic Weil Pairing	2
3	Exercises	2

1 Weil reciprocity

2 Generic Weil Pairing

Def 2.1. Divisor

$$D = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{K})} n_p \cdot [P]$$

Def 2.2. Degree & Sum

$$\deg(D) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{K})} n_p$$

$$\text{sum}(D) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{K})} n_p \cdot P$$

Def 2.3. Principal divisor iff $\deg(D) = 0$ and $\text{sum}(D) = 0$

$D \sim D'$ iff $D - D'$ is principal.

Def 2.4. Evaluation of a rational function

$$r(D) = \prod r(P)^{n_p}$$

2.1 Generic Weil Pairing

Let $E(\mathbb{K})$, with \mathbb{K} of char p , n s.t. $p \nmid n$.

\mathbb{K} large enough: $E(\mathbb{K})[n] = E(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$ (with n^2 elements).

$P, Q \in E[n]$:

$$D_P \sim [P] - [0]$$

$$D_Q \sim [Q] - [0]$$

We need them to have disjoint support:

$$D_P \sim [P] - [0]$$

$$D_Q \sim [Q + T] - [T]$$

$$\Delta D = D_Q - D'_Q = [Q] - [0] - [Q + T] + [T]$$

3 Exercises

An Introduction to Mathematical Cryptography, 2nd Edition - Section 6.8. Bilinear pairings on elliptic curves

6.29. $div(R(x) \cdot S(x)) = div(R(x)) + div(S(x))$, where $R(x), S(x)$ are rational functions.

proof:

Norm of f : $N_f = f \cdot \bar{f}$, and we know that $N_{fg} = N_f \cdot N_g \forall \mathbb{K}[E]$, then

$$deg(f) = deg_x(N_f)$$

and

$$deg(f \cdot g) = deg(f) + deg(g)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} deg(f \cdot g) &= deg_x(N_{fg}) = deg_x(N_f \cdot N_g) \\ &= deg_x(N_f) + deg_x(N_g) = deg(f) + deg(g) \end{aligned}$$

So, $\forall P \in E(\mathbb{K})$, $ord_P(rs) = ord_P(r) + ord_P(s)$.

As $div(r) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{K})} ord_P(r)[P]$, $div(s) = \sum ord_P(s)[P]$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} div(rs) &= \sum ord_P(rs)[P] \\ &= \sum ord_P(r)[P] + \sum ord_P(s)[P] = div(r) + div(s) \end{aligned}$$

6.31.

$$e_m(P, Q) = e_m(Q, P)^{-1} \forall P, Q \in E[m]$$

Proof: We know that $e_m(P, P) = 1$, so:

$$1 = e_m(P + Q, P + Q) = e_m(P, P) \cdot e_m(P, Q) \cdot e_m(Q, P) \cdot e_m(Q, Q)$$

and we know that $e_m(P, P) = 1$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= e_m(P, Q) \cdot e_m(Q, P) \\ \implies e_m(P, Q) &= e_m(Q, P)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$