# Notes on FRI

### arnaucube

### February 2023

#### Abstract

Notes taken from Vincenzo Iovino [1] explainations about FRI [2], [3], [4].

These notes are for self-consumption, are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs.

An implementation of FRI can be found at https://github.com/arnaucube/fri-commitment [5].

### Contents

			1
	1.1	General degree d test	1
<b>2</b>	FRI protocol		2
		Intuition	
	2.2	FRI-LDT	2
	2.3	Parameters	5
3	FRI	I as polynomial commitment scheme	5

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 General degree d test

Query at points  $\{x_i\}_0^{d+1}$ , z (with rand  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ ). Interpolate p(x) at  $\{f(x_i)\}_0^{d+1}$  to reconstruct the unique polynomial p of degree d such that  $p(x_i) = f(x_i) \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, d+1$ .

V checks p(z) = f(z), if the check passes, then V is convinced with high probability.

This needs d+2 queries, is linear,  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . With FRI we will have the test in  $\mathcal{O}(\log d)$ .

## 2 FRI protocol

Allows to test if a function f is a poly of degree  $\leq d$  in  $\mathcal{O}(\log d)$ .

Note: "P sends f(x) to V", "sends", in the ideal IOP model means that all the table of f(x) is sent, in practice is sent a commitment to f(x).

#### 2.1 Intuition

V wants to check that two functions g, h are both polynomials of degree  $\leq d$ . Consider the following protocol:

- 1. V sends  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  to P. P sends  $f(x) = g(x) + \alpha h(x)$  to V.
- 2. P sends  $f(x) = g(x) + \alpha h(x)$  to V.
- 3. V queries f(r), g(r), h(r) for rand  $r \in \mathbb{F}$ .
- 4. V checks  $f(r) = g(r) + \alpha h(r)$ . (Schwartz-Zippel lema). If holds, V can be certain that  $f(x) = g(x) + \alpha h(x)$ .
- 5. P proves that  $deg(f) \leq d$ .
- 6. If V is convinced that  $deg(f) \leq d$ , V believs that both g, h have  $deg \leq d$ .

With high probability,  $\alpha$  will not cancel the coeffs with  $deg \geq d+1$ .

Let  $g(x) = a \cdot x^{d+1}$ ,  $h(x) = b \cdot x^{d+1}$ , and set  $f(x) = g(x) + \alpha h(x)$ . Imagine that P can chose  $\alpha$  such that  $ax^{d+1} + \alpha \cdot bx^{d+1} = 0$ , then, in f(x) the coefficients of degree d+1 would cancel.

Here, P proves g, h both have  $deg \leq d$ , but instead of doing  $2 \cdot (d+2)$  queries (d+2 for g), and d+2 for h, it is done in d+2 queries (for f). So we halved the number of queries.

#### 2.2 FRI-LDT

FRI low degree testing.

Both P and V have oracle access to function f.

V wants to test if f is polynomial with  $deg(f) \leq d$ .

Let  $f_0(x) = f(x)$ .

Each polynomial f(x) of degree that is a power of 2, can be written as

$$f(x) = f^L(x^2) + x f^R(x^2)$$

for some polynomials  $f^L$ ,  $f^R$  of degree  $\frac{deg(f)}{2}$ , each one containing the even and odd degree coefficients as follows:

$$f^{L}(x) = \sum_{0}^{\frac{d+1}{2}-1} c_{2i}x^{i}, \quad f^{R}(x) = \sum_{0}^{\frac{d+1}{2}-1} c_{2i+1}x^{i}$$

eg. for 
$$f(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$$
,  

$$\begin{cases}
f^L(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \\
f^R(x) = x + 1
\end{cases} f(x) = f^L(x^2) + x \cdot f^R(x^2)$$

$$= (x^2)^2 + (x^2) + 1 + x \cdot ((x^2) + 1)$$

$$= x^4 + x^2 + 1 + x^3 + x$$

**Proof generation** (Commitment phase) P starts from f(x), and for i = 0 sets  $f_0(x) = f(x)$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} 1. \ \forall \ i \in \{0, log(d)\}, \ \text{with} \ d = deg \ f(x), \\ \text{P computes} \ f_i^L(x), \ f_i^R(x) \ \text{for which} \end{array}$ 

$$f_i(x) = f_i^L(x^2) + x f_i^R(x^2)$$
 (eq.  $A_i$ )

holds.

- 2. V sends challenge  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$
- 3. P commits to the random linear combination  $f_{i+1}$ , for

$$f_{i+1}(x) = f_i^L(x) + \alpha_i f_i^R(x)$$
 (eq.  $B_i$ )

4. P sets  $f_i(x) := f_{i+1}(x)$  and starts again the iteration.

Notice that at each step,  $deg(f_i)$  halves.

This is done until the last step, where  $f_i^L(x)$ ,  $f_i^R(x)$  are constant (degree 0 polynomials). For which P does not commit but gives their values directly to V

(Query phase) P would receive a challenge  $z \in D$  set by V (where D is the evaluation domain,  $D \in \mathbb{F}$ ), and P would open the commitments at  $\{z^{2^i}, -z^{2^i}\}$  for each step i. (Recall, "opening" means that would provide a proof (MerkleProof) of it).

#### Data sent from P to V

Commitments:  $\{Comm(f_i)\}_0^{log(d)}$  eg.  $\{Comm(f_0), Comm(f_1), Comm(f_2), ..., Comm(f_{log(d)})\}$ 

Openings:  $\{f_i(z^{2^i}), f_i(-(z^{2^i}))\}_0^{log(d)}$  for a challenge  $z \in D$  set by V eg.  $f_0(z), f_0(-z), f_1(z^2), f_1(-z^2), f_2(z^4), f_2(-z^4), f_3(z^8), f_3(-z^8), \dots$ 

Constant values of last iteration:  $\{f_k^L,\ f_k^R\}$ , for  $k=\log(d)$ 

#### **Verification** V receives:

Commitments:  $Comm(f_i), \forall i \in \{0, log(d)\}$ 

Openings: 
$$\{o_i, o_i'\} = \{f_i(z^{2^i}), f_i(-(z^{2^i}))\}, \forall i \in \{0, \log(d)\}$$

Constant vals:  $\{f_k^L, f_k^R\}$ 

For all  $i \in \{0, log(d)\}$ , V knows the openings at  $z^{2^i}$  and  $-(z^{2^i})$  for  $Comm(f_i(x))$ , which are  $o_i = f_i(z^{2^i})$  and  $o'_i = f_i(-(z^{2^i}))$  respectively. V, from (eq.  $A_i$ ), knows that

$$f_i(x) = f_i^L(x^2) + x f_i^R(x^2)$$

should hold, thus

$$f_i(z) = f_i^L(z^2) + z f_i^R(z^2)$$

where  $f_i(z)$  is known, but  $f_i^L(z^2)$ ,  $f_i^R(z^2)$  are unknown. But, V also knows the value for  $f_i(-z)$ , which can be represented as

$$f_i(-z) = f_i^L(z^2) - z f_i^R(z^2)$$

(note that when replacing x by -z, it loses the negative in the power, not in the linear combination).

Thus, we have the system of independent linear equations

$$f_i(z) = f_i^L(z^2) + z f_i^R(z^2)$$
  
$$f_i(-z) = f_i^L(z^2) - z f_i^R(z^2)$$

for which V will find the value of  $f_i^L(z^{2^i})$ ,  $f_i^R(z^{2^i})$ . Equivalently it can be represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 1 & -z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_i^L(z^2) \\ f_i^R(z^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(z) \\ f_i(-z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where V will find the values of  $f_i^L(z^{2^i})$ ,  $f_i^R(z^{2^i})$  being

$$\begin{split} f_i^L(z^{2^i}) &= \frac{f_i(z) + f_i(-z)}{2} \\ f_i^R(z^{2^i}) &= \frac{f_i(z) - f_i(-z)}{2z} \end{split}$$

Once, V has computed  $f_i^L(z^{2^i}), \ f_i^R(z^{2^i}),$  can use them to compute the linear combination of

$$f_{i+1}(z^{2^i}) = f_i^L(z^{2^i}) + \alpha_i f_i^R(z^{2^i})$$

obtaining then  $f_{i+1}(z^{2^{i}})$ . This comes from (eq.  $B_{i}$ ).

Now, V checks that the obtained  $f_{i+1}(z^{2^i})$  is equal to the received opening  $o_{i+1} = f_{i+1}(z^{2^i})$  from the commitment done by P. V checks also the commitment of  $Comm(f_{i+1}(x))$  for the opening  $o_{i+1} = f_{i+1}(z^{2^i})$ .

If the checks pass, V is convinced that  $f_1(x)$  was committed honestly.

Now, sets i := i + 1 and starts a new iteration.

For the last iteration, V checks that the obtained  $f_i^L(z^{2^i})$ ,  $f_i^R(z^{2^i})$  are equal to the constant values  $\{f_k^L,\ f_k^R\}$  received from P.

It needs log(d) iterations, and the number of queries (commitments + openings sent and verified) needed is  $2 \cdot log(d)$ .

#### 2.3 Parameters

P commits to  $f_i$  restricted to a subfield  $F_0 \subset \mathbb{F}$ . Let  $0 < \rho < 1$  be the rate of the code, such that

$$|F_0| = \rho^{-1} \cdot d$$

**Thm 2.1.** For  $\delta \in (0, 1 - \sqrt{\rho})$ , we have that if V accepts, then w.v.h.p. (with very high probability)  $\Delta(f_0, p^d) \leq \delta$ .

## 3 FRI as polynomial commitment scheme

This section overviews the trick from [4] to convert FRI into a polynomial commitment.

Want to check that the evaluation of f(x) at r is f(r), which is equivalent to proving that  $\exists Q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  with deg(Q) = d - 1, such that

$$f(x) - f(r) = Q(x) \cdot (x - r)$$

note that f(x) - f(r) evaluated at r is 0, so (x - r)|(f(x) - f(r)), in other words (f(x) - f(r)) is a multiple of (x - r) for a polynomial Q(x).

Let us define  $q(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(r)}{x}$ .

Prover uses FRI-LDT 2.2 to commit to g(x), and then prove w.v.h.p that  $deq(q) \le d-1 \iff \Delta(q, p^{d-1} \le \delta)$ .

Prover was already proving that  $deg(f) \leq d$ .

Now, the missing thing to prove is that g(x) has the right shape. We can relate g to f as follows: V does the normal FRI-LDT, but in addition, at the first iteration: V has f(z) and g(z) openings, so can verify

$$g(z) = (f(z) - f(r)) \cdot (z - r)^{-1}$$

## References

[1] Vincenzo Iovino. https://sites.google.com/site/vincenzoiovinoit/.

- [2] Eli Ben-Sasson, Iddo Bentov, Yinon Horesh, and Michael Riabzev. Fast reed-solomon interactive oracle proofs of proximity, 2018. https://eccc.weizmann.ac.il/report/2017/134/.
- [3] Ulrich Haböck. A summary on the fri low degree test. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2022/1216, 2022. https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/1216.
- [4] Alexander Vlasov and Konstantin Panarin. Transparent polynomial commitment scheme with polylogarithmic communication complexity. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2019/1020, 2019. https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1020
- [5] https://github.com/arnaucube/fri-commitment.