# Weil Pairing - study

#### arnaucube

#### August 2022

#### Abstract

Notes taken from Matan Prsma math seminars and also while reading about Bilinear Pairings. Usually while reading papers and books I take handwritten notes, this document contains some of them re-written to LaTeX.

The notes are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs. I use these notes to revisit the concepts after some time of reading the topic.

### Contents

1	Rational functions 1.1 Zeros, poles, uniformizers and multiplicities	<b>1</b>
2	Divisors	3
3	Weil reciprocity	4
4	Generic Weil Pairing	5
5	Properties	6
6	Exercises	6

### 1 Rational functions

Let  $E/\mathbb{k}$  be an elliptic curve defined by:  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ .

set of polynomials over E:  $\Bbbk[E] := \Bbbk[x,y]/(y^2-x^3-Ax-B=0)$  we can replace  $y^2$  in the polynomial  $f \in \Bbbk[E]$  with  $x^3+Ax+B$ 

**canonical form:** f(x,y) = v(x) + yw(x) for  $v, w \in \mathbb{k}[x]$ 

**conjugate:**  $\overline{f} = v(x) - yw(x)$ 

**norm:**  $N_f = f \cdot \overline{f} = v(x)^2 - y^2 w(x)^2 = v(x)^2 - (x^3 + Ax + B)w(x)^2 \in \mathbb{k}[x] \subset \mathbb{k}[x]$ 

we can see that  $N_{fg} = N_f \cdot N_g$ 

set of rational functions over E:  $k(E) := k[E] \times k[E] / \sim$ For  $r \in \mathbb{k}(E)$  and a finite point  $P \in E(\mathbb{k})$ , r is finite at P iff

$$\exists \ r = \frac{f}{g} \text{ with } f, g \in \mathbb{k}[E], \ s.t. \ g(P) \neq 0$$

We define  $r(P) = \frac{f(P)}{g(P)}$ . Otherwise,  $r(P) = \infty$ . Remark:  $r = \frac{f}{g} \in \mathbb{k}(E)$ ,  $r = \frac{f}{g} = \frac{f \cdot \overline{g}}{g \cdot \overline{g}} = \frac{f \overline{g}}{N_g}$ , thus

$$r(x,y) = \frac{(f\overline{g})(x,y)}{N_g(x,y)} = \underbrace{\frac{v(x)}{N_g(x)} + y\frac{w(x)}{N_g(x)}}_{\text{canonical form of } r(x,y)}$$

**degree of** f: Let  $f \in \mathbb{k}[E]$ , in canonical form: f(x,y) = v(x) + yw(x),

$$deg(f) := max\{2 \cdot deg_x(v), 3 + 2 \cdot deg_x(w)\}$$

For  $f, g \in \mathbb{k}[E]$ :

- i.  $deg(f) = deg_x(N_f)$
- ii.  $deg(f \cdot g) = deg(f) + deg(g)$

**Def 1.1.** Let  $r = \frac{f}{g} \in \mathbb{k}(E)$ 

- i. if deq(f) < deq(q) : r(0) = 0
- ii. if deg(f) > deg(g): r is not finite at 0
- iii. if deg(f) = deg(g) with deg(f) even: f's canonical form leading terms  $ax^d$ g 's canonical form leading terms  $bx^d$   $a,b\in \mathbb{k}^\times,\ d=\frac{deg(f)}{2},$  set  $r(0)=\frac{a}{b}$
- iv. if deg(f) = deg(g) with deg(f) odd f's canonical form leading terms  $ax^d$ g's canonical form leading terms  $bx^d$  $a, b \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}, \ deg(f) = deg(g) = 3 + 2d, \ set \ r(0) = \frac{a}{b}$

#### Zeros, poles, uniformizers and multiplicities

 $r \in \mathbb{k}(E)$  has a zero in  $P \in E$  if r(P) = 0 $r \in \mathbb{k}(E)$  has a pole in  $P \in E$  if r(P) is not finite. **uniformizer:** Let  $P \in E$ , uniformizer: rational function  $u \in \Bbbk(E)$  with u(P) = 0 if  $\forall r \in \Bbbk(E) \setminus \{0\}, \ \exists d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ s \in \Bbbk(E)$  finite at P with  $s(P) \neq 0$  s.t.

$$r = u^d \cdot s$$

**order:** Let  $P \in E(\mathbb{k})$ , let  $u \in \mathbb{k}(E)$  be a uniformizer at P. For  $r \in \mathbb{k}(E) \setminus \{0\}$  being a rational function with  $r = u^d \cdot s$  with  $s(P) \neq 0, \infty$ , we say that r has order d at P (ord $_P(r) = d$ ).

multiplicity: multiplicity of a zero of r is the order of r at that point, multiplicity of a pole of r is the order of r at that point.

if  $P \in E(\mathbb{k})$  is neither a zero or pole of r, then  $ord_P(r) = 0 \ (= d, \ r = u^0 s)$ .

Multiplicities, from the book "Elliptic Tales" (p.69), to provide intuition

Factorization into linear factors:  $p(x) = c \cdot (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_d)$ 

d: degree of p(x),  $a_i \in \mathbb{k}$ 

Solutions to p(x) = 0 are  $x = a_1, \dots, a_d$  (some  $a_i$  can be repeated)

eg.: p(x) = (x-1)(x-1)(x-3), solutions to p(x) = 0: 1, 1, 3

x = 1 is a solution to p(x) = 0 of multiplicity 2.

The total number of solutions (counted with multiplicity) is d, the degree of the polynomial whose roots we are finding.

#### 2 Divisors

Def 2.1. Divisor

$$D = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{k})} n_p \cdot [P]$$

Def 2.2. Degree & Sum

$$deg(D) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{k})} n_p$$

$$sum(D) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{k})} n_p \cdot P$$

The set of all divisors on E forms a group: for  $D=\sum_{P\in E(\Bbbk)}n_P[P]$  and  $D'=\sum_{P\in E(\Bbbk)}m_P[P],$ 

$$D+D'=\sum_{P\in E(\Bbbk)}(n_P+m_P)[P]$$

Def 2.3. Associated divisor

$$div(r) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{k})} ord_P(r)[P]$$

Observe that

$$div(rs) = div(r) + div(s)$$

$$div(\frac{r}{s}) = div(r) - div(s)$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{P \in E(\mathbb{k})} ord_P(r) \cdot P = 0$$

**Def 2.4.** Support of a divisor

$$\sum_{P} n_P[P], \ \forall P \in E(\mathbb{k}) \text{ s.t. } n_P \neq 0$$

**Def 2.5.** Principal divisor iff

$$deg(D) = 0$$

$$sum(D) = 0$$

 $D \sim D'$  iff D - D' is principal.

**Def 2.6.** Evaluation of a rational function (function r evaluated at D)

$$r(D) = \prod r(P)^{n_p}$$

# 3 Weil reciprocity

**Thm 3.1.** (Weil reciprocity) Let  $E/\mathbb{k}$  be an e.c. over an algebraically closed field. If  $r, s \in \mathbb{k} \setminus \{0\}$  are rational functions whose divisors have disjoint support, then

$$r(\operatorname{div}(s)) = s(\operatorname{div}(r))$$

Proof. (todo)

Example

$$\begin{split} p(x) &= x^2 - 1, \, q(x) = \frac{x}{x - 2} \\ & div(p) = 1 \cdot [1] + 1 \cdot [-1] - 2 \cdot [\infty] \\ & div(q) = 1 \cdot [0] - 1 \cdot [2] \\ & \text{(they have disjoint support)} \\ & p(div(q)) = p(0)^1 \cdot p(2)^{-1} = (0^2 - 1)^1 \cdot (2^2 - 1)^{-1} = \frac{-1}{3} \\ & q(div(p)) = q(1)^1 \cdot q(-1)^1 - q(\infty)^2 \\ & = (\frac{1}{1 - 2})^1 \cdot (\frac{-1}{-1 - 2})^1 \cdot (\frac{\infty}{\infty - 2})^2 = \frac{-1}{3} \end{split}$$

so, p(div(q)) = q(div(p)).

# 4 Generic Weil Pairing

Let  $E(\mathbb{k})$ , with  $\mathbb{k}$  of char p, n s.t.  $p \nmid n$ .

 $\mathbb{k}$  large enough:  $E(\mathbb{k})[n] = E(\overline{\mathbb{k}}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$  (with  $n^2$  elements). For  $P, Q \in E[n]$ ,

$$D_P \sim [P] - [0]$$
$$D_Q \sim [Q] - [0]$$

We need them to have disjoint support:

$$D_P \sim [P] - [0]$$
  
$$D_Q' \sim [Q + T] - [T]$$

$$\Delta D = D_Q - D_Q' = [Q] - [0] - [Q + T] + [T]$$

Note that  $nD_P$  and  $nD_Q$  are principal. Proof:

$$nD_P = n[P] - n[O]$$

$$deg(nD_P) = n - n = 0$$

$$sum(nD_P) = nP - nO = 0$$

(nP = 0 bcs. P is n-torsion)

Since  $nD_P$ ,  $nD_Q$  are principal, we know that  $f_P$ ,  $f_Q$  exist. Take

$$f_P : div(f_P) = nD_P$$
  
 $f_Q : div(f_Q) = nD_Q$ 

We define

$$e_n(P,Q) = \frac{f_P(D_Q)}{f_Q(D_P)}$$

Remind: evaluation of a rational function over a divisor D:

$$D = \sum n_P[P]$$
$$r(D) = \prod r(P)^{n_P}$$

If  $D_P = [P + S] - [S]$ ,  $D_Q = [Q - T] - [T]$  what is  $e_n(P, Q)$ ?

$$f_P(D_Q) = f_P(Q+T)^1 \cdot f_P(T)^{-1}$$
  
$$f_Q(D_P) = f_Q(P+S)^1 \cdot f_Q(S)^{-1}$$

$$e_n(P,Q) = \frac{f_P(Q+T)}{f_P(T)} / \frac{f_Q(P+S)}{f_Q(S)}$$

with  $S \neq \{O, P, -Q, P - Q\}$ .

## 5 Properties

- i.  $e_n(P,Q)^n = 1 \ \forall P,Q \in E[n]$ ( $\Rightarrow e_n(P,Q)$  is a  $n^{th}$  root of unity)
- ii. Bilinearity

$$e_n(P_1 + P_2, Q) = e_n(P_1, Q) \cdot e_n(P_2, Q)$$
  
 $e_n(P, Q_1 + Q_2) = e_n(P, Q_1) \cdot e_n(P, Q_2)$ 

*proof:* recall that  $e_n(P,Q) = \frac{g(S+P)}{g(S)}$ , then,

$$e_n(P_1, Q) \cdot e_n(P_2, Q) = \frac{g(P_1 + S)}{g(S)} \cdot \frac{g(P_2 + P_1 + S)}{g(P_1 + S)}$$
(replace S by  $S + P_1$ )
$$= \frac{g(P_2 + P_1 + S)}{g(S)} = e_n(P_1 + P_2, Q)$$

iii. Alternating

$$e_n(P,P) = 1 \ \forall P \in E[n]$$

iv. Nondegenerate

if 
$$e_n(P,Q) = 1 \ \forall Q \in E[n]$$
, then  $P = 0$ 

#### 6 Exercises

An Introduction to Mathematical Cryptography, 2nd Edition - Section 6.8. Bilinear pairings on elliptic curves

**6.29.**  $div(R(x) \cdot S(x)) = div(R(x)) + div(S(x))$ , where R(x), S(x) are rational functions.

proof:

Norm of  $f: N_f = f \cdot \overline{f}$ , and we know that  $N_{fg} = N_f \cdot N_g \ \forall \ \mathbb{k}[E]$ , then

$$deg(f) = deg_x(N_f)$$

and

$$deg(f \cdot g) = deg(f) + deg(g)$$

Proof:

$$deg(f \cdot g) = deg_x(N_{fg}) = deg_x(N_f \cdot N_g)$$
$$= deg_x(N_f) + deg_x(N_g) = deg(f) + deg(g)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{So, } \forall P \in E(\mathbbm{k}), \ ord_P(rs) = ord_P(r) + ord_P(s). \\ \text{As } div(r) = \sum_{P \in E(\mathbbm{k})} ord_P(r)[P], \ div(s) = \sum ord_P(s)[P]. \end{array}$$

So,

$$div(rs) = \sum ord_P(rs)[P]$$
  
= 
$$\sum ord_P(r)[P] + \sum ord_P(s)[P] = div(r) + div(s)$$

6.31.

$$e_m(P,Q) = e_m(Q,P)^{-1} \forall P, Q \in E[m]$$

Proof: We know that  $e_m(P, P) = 1$ , so:

$$1 = e_m(P + Q, P + Q) = e_m(P, P) \cdot e_m(P, Q) \cdot e_m(Q, P) \cdot e_m(Q, Q)$$

and we know that  $e_m(P, P) = 1$ , then we have:

$$1 = e_m(P, Q) \cdot e_m(Q, P)$$

$$\implies e_m(P,Q) = e_m(Q,P)^{-1}$$