Notes on HyperNova

arnaucube

May 2023

Abstract

Notes taken while reading about HyperNova [1] and CCS[2].

Usually while reading papers I take handwritten notes, this document contains some of them re-written to LaTeX.

The notes are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs.

Contents

1		1 2 2
2	Multifolding Scheme for CCS	3
A	Appendix: Some details A.1 Matrix and Vector to Sparse Multilinear Extension	5
1	CCS	
1.	1 R1CS to CCS overview	
\mathbf{R}_1	ICS instance $S_{R1CS} = (m, n, N, l, A, B, C)$ where m, n are such that $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$, and l such that the public inpu $x \in \mathbb{F}^{l}$. Also $z = (w, 1, x) \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$, thus $w \in \mathbb{F}^{n-l-1}$.	ts
C	CS instance $S_{CCS} = (m, n, N, l, t, q, d, M, S, c)$ where we have the same parameters than in S_{R1CS} , but additionally: $t = M , q = c = S , d = \max$ degree in each variable.	
\mathbf{R}_{1}	1CS-to-CCS parameters $n=n,\ m=m,\ N=N,\ l=l,\ t=3,\ q=2,\ d=1,\ M=\{A,B,C\},\ S=\{\{0,\ 1\},\ \{2\}\},\ c=\{1,-1\}$	=

The CCS relation check:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} c_i \cdot \bigcirc_{j \in S_i} M_j \cdot z == 0$$

where $z = (w, 1, x) \in \mathbb{F}^n$.

In our R1CS-to-CCS parameters is equivalent to

$$c_0 \cdot ((M_0 z) \circ (M_1 z)) + c_1 \cdot (M_2 z) == 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow 1 \cdot ((Az) \circ (Bz)) + (-1) \cdot (Cz) == 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow ((Az) \circ (Bz)) - (Cz) == 0$$

which is equivalent to the R1CS relation: $Az \circ Bz == Cz$

An example of the conversion from R1CS to CCS implemented in SageMath can be found at

https://github.com/arnaucube/math/blob/master/r1cs-ccs.sage.

Similar relations between Plonkish and AIR arithmetizations to CCS are shown in the CCS paper [2], but for now with the R1CS we have enough to see the CCS generalization idea and to use it for the HyperNova scheme.

1.2 Committed CCS

 R_{CCCS} instance: (C, x) , where C is a commitment to a multilinear polynomial in s'-1 variables.

Sat if:

i. Commit $(pp, \widetilde{w}) = C$

ii.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \cdot \left(\prod_{j \in S_i} \left(\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{\log m}} \widetilde{M}_j(x,y) \cdot \widetilde{z}(y) \right) \right)$$
 where $\widetilde{z}(y) = (w,1,\mathbf{x})(x) \ \forall x \in \{0,1\}^{s'}$

1.3 Linearized Committed CCS

 R_{LCCCS} instance: $(C, u, \mathsf{x}, r, v_1, \ldots, v_t)$, where C is a commitment to a multilinear polynomial in s'-1 variables, and $u \in \mathbb{F}, \ \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^l, \ r \in \mathbb{F}^s, \ v_i \in \mathbb{F} \ \forall i \in [t]$. Sat if:

i. Commit $(pp, \widetilde{w}) = C$

ii.
$$\forall i \in [t], \ v_i = \underbrace{\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_i(r,y) \cdot \widetilde{z}(y)}_{\text{where } \widetilde{z}(y) = (w,u,\mathbf{x})(x) \ \forall x \in \{0,1\}^{s'}$$

2 Multifolding Scheme for CCS

Recall sum-check protocol notation: $C \leftarrow \langle P, V(r) \rangle(g, l, d, T)$ means

$$T = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x_l \in \{0,1\}} g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l)$$

where g is a l-variate polynomial, with degree at most d in each variable, and T is the claimed value.

Let $s = \log m$, $s' = \log n$.

1.
$$V \to P : \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \mathbb{F}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R} \mathbb{F}^s$$

2.
$$V: r'_x \in \mathbb{F}^s$$

3. $V \leftrightarrow P$: sum-check protocol:

$$c \leftarrow \langle P, V(r'_x) \rangle (g, s, d+1, \underbrace{\sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot v_j}_{\mathrm{T}})$$

(in fact,
$$T = (\sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot v_j) \underbrace{+ \gamma^{t+1} \cdot Q(x)}_{=0}) = \sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot v_j$$
)

where:

$$g(x) := \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot L_j(x)\right)}_{\text{LCCCS check}} + \underbrace{\gamma^{t+1} \cdot Q(x)}_{\text{CCCS check}}$$

for LCCCS:
$$L_j(x) := \widetilde{eq}(r_x, x) \cdot \left(\underbrace{\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_1(y)}_{\text{this is the check from LCCCS}} \right)$$

$$\text{for CCCS: } Q(x) := \widetilde{eq}(\beta, x) \cdot \left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^q c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \left(\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_2(y)\right)}_{\text{this is the check from CCCS}}\right)$$

Notice that

$$v_j = \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(r,y) \cdot \widetilde{z}(y) = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^s} L_j(x)$$

4. $P \to V$: $((\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t), (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_t))$, where $\forall j \in [t]$,

$$\sigma_j = \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(r'_x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_1(y)$$

$$\theta_j = \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(r'_x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_2(y)$$

where σ_j , θ_j are the checks from LCCCS and CCCS respectively with $x = r'_x$.

5. V: $e_1 \leftarrow \widetilde{eq}(r_x, r_x'), e_2 \leftarrow \widetilde{eq}(\beta, r_x')$

$$c = \left(\sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j e_1 \sigma_j + \gamma^{t+1} e_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^q c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \sigma\right)\right)$$

which should be equivalent to the g(x) computed by V, P in the sum-check protocol.

- 6. $V \to P : \rho \in \mathbb{R}$
- 7. V, P: output the folded LCCCS instance $(C', u', \mathsf{x}', r'_x, v'_1, \ldots, v'_t)$, where $\forall i \in [t]$:

$$C' \leftarrow C_1 + \rho \cdot C_2$$

$$u' \leftarrow u + \rho \cdot 1$$

$$x' \leftarrow x_1 + \rho \cdot x_2$$

$$v'_i \leftarrow \sigma_i + \rho \cdot \theta_i$$

8. P: output folded witness: $\widetilde{w}' \leftarrow \widetilde{w}_1 + \rho \cdot \widetilde{w}_2$.

Now, to see the verifier check from step 5, observe that in LCCCS, since \widetilde{w} satisfies,

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(r_x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_1(y) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^s} \underbrace{\widetilde{eq}(r_x, x) \cdot \left(\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_1(y)\right)}_{L_j(x)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^s} L_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

Observe also that in CCCS, since \widetilde{w} satisfies,

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \left(\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(x,y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_2(y) \right)$$

for β ,

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \left(\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(\beta, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_2(y) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^s} \underbrace{\widetilde{eq}(\beta, x) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{q} c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \left(\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \widetilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \widetilde{z}_2(y) \right)}_{Q(x)}$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^s} Q(x)$$

Then we can see that

$$c = g(r'_x)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot L_j(r'_x)\right) + \gamma^{t+1} \cdot Q(r'_x)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot e_q \cdot \sigma_j\right) + \gamma^{t+1} \cdot e_2 \cdot \sum_{i \in [q]} c_i \prod_{j \in S_i} \theta_j$$

where $e_1 = \widetilde{eq}(r_x, r_x')$ and $e_2 = \widetilde{eq}(\beta, r_x')$.

Which is the check that V performs at step 5.

A Appendix: Some details

This appendix contains some notes on things that don't specifically appear in the paper, but that would be needed in a practical implementation of the scheme.

A.1 Matrix and Vector to Sparse Multilinear Extension

Let $M \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix. We want to compute its MLE

$$\widetilde{M}(x_1,\ldots,x_l) = \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^l} M(e) \cdot \widetilde{eq}(x,e)$$

We can view the matrix $M \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ as a function with the following signature:

$$M(\cdot):\{0,1\}^s\times\{0,1\}^{s'}\to\mathbb{F}$$

```
where s = \lceil \log m \rceil, s' = \lceil \log n \rceil.

An entry in M can be accessed with a (s+s')-bit identifier. eg.: M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{3 \times 2}
m = 3, \ n = 2, \quad s = \lceil \log 3 \rceil = 2, \ s' = \lceil \log 2 \rceil = 1
So, M(x,y) = x, where x \in \{0,1\}^s, y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}, x \in \mathbb{F}
M = \begin{pmatrix} M(00,0) & M(01,0) & M(10,0) \\ M(00,1) & M(01,1) & M(10,1) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{3 \times 2}
```

This logic can be defined as follows:

Algorithm 1 Generating a Sparse Multilinear Polynomial from a matrix

```
set empty vector v \in (\text{index: } \mathbb{Z}, x : \mathbb{F})^{s \times s'}
for i to m do
   for j to n do
        if M_{i,j} \neq 0 then
            v.append(\{\text{index: } i \cdot n + j, \ x : M_{i,j}\})
        end if
   end for
end for
return v
\triangleright v represents the evaluations of the polynomial
```

Once we have the polynomial, its MLE comes from

$$\widetilde{M}(x_1,\ldots,x_{s+s'}) = \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^{s+s'}} M(e) \cdot \widetilde{eq}(x,e)$$

$$M(X) \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_s]$$

Multilinear extensions of vectors Given a vector $u \in \mathbb{F}^m$, the polynomial \widetilde{u} is the MLE of u, and is obtained by viewing u as a function mapping $(s = \log m)$

$$u(x): \{0,1\}^s \to \mathbb{F}$$

 $\widetilde{u}(x,e)$ is the multilinear extension of the function u(x)

$$\widetilde{u}(x_1,\ldots,x_s) = \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^s} u(e) \cdot \widetilde{eq}(x,e)$$

References

[1] Abhiram Kothapalli and Srinath Setty. Hypernova: Recursive arguments for customizable constraint systems. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/573, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/573.

[2] Srinath Setty, Justin Thaler, and Riad Wahby. Customizable constraint systems for succinct arguments. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/552, 2023. https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/552.