

# Notes on HyperNova

arnaucube

May 2023

## Abstract

Notes taken while reading about Spartan [1], [2].

Usually while reading papers I take handwritten notes, this document contains some of them re-written to *LaTeX*.

The notes are not complete, don't include all the steps neither all the proofs.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>CCS</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	R1CS to CCS overview . . . . .	1
1.2	Committed CCS . . . . .	2
1.3	Linearized Committed CCS . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Multifolding Scheme for CCS</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 CCS

### 1.1 R1CS to CCS overview

R1CS instance:  $S_{R1CS} = (m, n, N, l, A, B, C)$

CCS instance:  $S_{CCS} = (m, n, N, l, t, q, d, M, S, c)$

R1CS-to-CCS parameters:

$n = n, m = m, N = N, l = l, t = 3, q = 2, d = 2$

$M = \{A, B, C\}, S = \{\{0, 1\}, \{2\}\}, c = \{1, -1\}$

Then, we can see that the CCS relation:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} c_i \cdot \bigcirc_{j \in S_i} M_j \cdot z == 0$$

where  $z = (w, 1, x) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

In our R1CS-to-CCS parameters is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_0 \cdot ((M_0 z) \circ (M_1 z)) + c_1 \cdot (M_2 z) == 0 \\
\implies & 1 \cdot ((Az) \circ (Bz)) + (-1) \cdot (Cz) == 0 \\
\implies & ((Az) \circ (Bz)) - (Cz) == 0
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the R1CS relation:  $Az \circ Bz == Cz$

An example of the conversion from R1CS to CCS implemented in SageMath can be found at

<https://github.com/arnaucube/math/blob/master/r1cs-ccs.sage>.

## 1.2 Committed CCS

$R_{CCCS}$  instance:  $(C, \mathbf{x})$ , where  $C$  is a commitment to a multilinear polynomial in  $s' - 1$  variables.

Sat if:

- i.  $\text{Commit}(pp, \tilde{w}) = C$
- ii.  $\sum_{i=1}^q c_i \cdot \left( \prod_{j \in S_i} \left( \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{\log m}} \tilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \tilde{z}(y) \right) \right)$   
where  $\tilde{z}(y) = \widehat{(w, 1, \mathbf{x})}(x) \forall x \in \{0, 1\}^{s'}$

## 1.3 Linearized Committed CCS

$R_{LCCCS}$  instance:  $(C, u, \mathbf{x}, r, v_1, \dots, v_t)$ , where  $C$  is a commitment to a multilinear polynomial in  $s' - 1$  variables, and  $u \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^l$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{F}^s$ ,  $v_i \in \mathbb{F} \forall i \in [t]$ .

Sat if:

- i.  $\text{Commit}(pp, \tilde{w}) = C$
- ii.  $\forall i \in [t], v_i = \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \tilde{M}_i(r, y) \cdot \tilde{z}(y)$   
where  $\tilde{z}(y) = \widehat{(w, u, \mathbf{x})}(x) \forall x \in \{0, 1\}^{s'}$

## 2 Multifolding Scheme for CCS

Recall sum-check protocol:

$C \leftarrow \langle P, V(r) \rangle (g, l, d, T)$ :

$\overline{T} = \sum_{x_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{x_2 \in \{0,1\}} \dots \sum_{x_l \in \{0,1\}} g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l)$   $l$ -variate polynomial  $g$ , degree  $\leq d$  in each variable.

let  $s = \log m$ ,  $s' = \log n$ .

1.  $V \rightarrow P : \gamma \in^R \mathbb{F}, \beta \in^R \mathbb{F}^s$
2.  $V : r'_x \in^R \mathbb{F}^s$

3.  $V \leftrightarrow P$ : sum-check protocol:

$$c \leftarrow \langle P, V(r'_x) \rangle (g, s, d+1, \sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot v_j)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &:= \left( \sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j \cdot L_j(x) \right) + \gamma^{t+1} \cdot Q(x) \\ L_j(x) &:= \tilde{e}q(r_x, x) \cdot \left( \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \tilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \tilde{z}_1(y) \right) \\ Q(x) &:= \tilde{e}q(\beta, x) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \left( \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \tilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \tilde{z}_2(y) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

4.  $P \rightarrow V$ :  $((\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t), (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t))$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \tilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \tilde{z}_1(y) \\ \theta_j &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{s'}} \tilde{M}_j(x, y) \cdot \tilde{z}_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

5.  $V$ :  $e_1 \leftarrow \tilde{e}q(r_x, r'_x)$ ,  $e_2 \leftarrow \tilde{e}q(\beta, r'_x)$   
check:

$$c = \left( \sum_{j \in [t]} \gamma^j e_1 \sigma_j + \gamma^{t+1} e_2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^q c_i \cdot \prod_{j \in S_i} \sigma \right) \right)$$

6.  $V \rightarrow P$ :  $\rho \in^R \mathbb{F}$

7.  $V, P$ : output the folded LCCCS instance  $(C', u', x', r'_x, v'_1, \dots, v'_t)$ , where  $\forall i \in [t]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C' &\leftarrow C_1 + \rho \cdot C_2 \\ u' &\leftarrow u + \rho \cdot 1 \\ x' &\leftarrow x_1 + \rho \cdot x_2 \\ v'_i &\leftarrow \sigma_i + \rho \cdot \theta_i \end{aligned}$$

8.  $P$ : output folded witness:  $\tilde{w}' \leftarrow \tilde{w}_1 + \rho \cdot \tilde{w}_2$ .

## References

- [1] Abhiram Kothapalli and Srinath Setty. Hypernova: Recursive arguments for customizable constraint systems. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/573, 2023. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/573>.
- [2] Srinath Setty, Justin Thaler, and Riad Wahby. Customizable constraint systems for succinct arguments. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/552, 2023. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/552>.